

4.5 PSP Cover Sheet (Attach to the front of each proposal)

Proposal Title: River Park
 Applicant Name: Greater Vallejo Recreation District - Rosemary Alex
 Mailing Address: 305 Amador Street, Vallejo, CA 94590
 Telephone: 707 648-4600
 Fax: 707 648-4616
 Email: _____

Amount of funding requested: Phase I: - \$1,000,000 for 2 years

Indicate the Topic for which you are applying (check only one box).

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Passage/Fish Screens | <input type="checkbox"/> Introduced Species |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Habitat Restoration | <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Management/Hatchery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Watershed Stewardship | <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality | |

Does the proposal address a specified Focused Action? ____ yes ____ X no

What county or counties is the project located in? Solano County

Indicate the geographic area of your proposal (check only one box):

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sacramento River Mainstem | <input type="checkbox"/> East Side Trib: _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sacramento Trib: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Suisun Marsh and Bay |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Mainstem | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> North Bay/South Bay: <u>Napa River</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin Trib: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape (entire Bay-Delta watershed) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Indicate the primary species which the proposal addresses (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin and East-side Delta tributaries fall-run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Spring-run chinook salmon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Winter-run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Fall-run chinook salmon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Late-fall run chinook salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> Longfin smelt |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta smelt | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steelhead trout |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Splittail | <input type="checkbox"/> Striped bass |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Green sturgeon | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All chinook species |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Migratory birds | <input type="checkbox"/> All anadromous salmonids |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | |

Specify the ERP strategic objective and target (s) that the project addresses. Include page numbers from January 1999 version of ERP Volume I and II:

ERP Strategic Objectives, Volume I - Bay Delta Aquatic Food Web (pg 43),
Tidal Perennial Aquatic Habitat (pg 103), Fresh Emergent Wetland (pg
104), Perennial Grassland (pg 104), Saline Emergent Wetland (pg 103),
ERP Targets Volume II - Napa River Saline Emergent Wetland perennial
Grassland (pg 150)

Indicate the type of applicant (check only one box):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Federal agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public/Non-profit joint venture | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-profit |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local government/district | <input type="checkbox"/> Private party |
| <input type="checkbox"/> University | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Indicate the type of project (check only one box):

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Planning | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring | <input type="checkbox"/> Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Research | |

By signing below, the applicant declares the following:

- 1.) The truthfulness of all representations in their proposal;
- 2.) The individual signing the form is entitled to submit the application on behalf of the applicant (if the applicant is an entity or organization); and
- 3.) The person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section 2.4) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal on behalf of the applicant, to the extent as provided in the Section.

Rosemary Alex

Printed name of applicant

Rosemary Alex

Signature of applicant

CALFED BAY-DELTA PROGRAM

Table of Contents

- I. Title Page**
- II. Executive Summary**
- III. Project Description**
- IV. Ecological/Biological Benefits**
- V. Technical Feasibility and Timing**
- VI. Monitoring and Data Collection Methodology**
- VII. Local Involvement**
- VIII. Cost**
- IX. Cost-Sharing**
- X. Applicant Qualifications**

Greater Vallejo Recreation District 395 Amador Street Vallejo, CA 94590 (707) 648-4600

I. Title Page

I. Title Page

Title of Project: River Park
Name: Rosemary Alex, Park Planner
Address: Greater Vallejo Recreation District
395 Amador Street
Vallejo, CA 94590
Phone: (707) 648-4600
Fax: (707) 648-4616
E-Mail: Not Available
Participants/Collaborators: Greater Vallejo Recreation District - Lead Agency
City of Vallejo Public Works Department
Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District
Type of Organization: Governmental Agency - Special District
Tax Status: Government Tax Exempt
Tax Identification Number: 94-6004013

II. Executive Summary

River Park is located on the eastern bank of the Mare Island Strait just south of the Highway 37 Napa River over-cross. The site currently consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) along with community input completed a master plan for River Park. The presence of endangered animal and plant species, and nearby community's interest in ecologically sensitive design with restored habitat areas, resulted in a strong and widely supported environmental design solution. The proposed project will include the restoration of tidal and fresh water wetlands via the relocation of nearly 250,000 cubic yards of material from the northern portion of the park to the southern portion of the park. The final plan possesses strong public support and proposes the following elements:

- 1) Zone 1 - Lowlands: Saltwater tidal marshes and adjacent lowlands with access pathway and observation deck. Elevation varies from below water level to some adjacent mounded areas rising up to elevation 11. Area covers approximately 50.5 acres which is 66% of the site and includes 16 acres of new tidal marshes(36 acres total), 14.5 acres of saline emergent wetlands and islands for birds.
- 2) Zone 2 - Midlands: Lands adjoining Wilson Avenue, Mare Island Way and the Mare Island Causeway featuring fresh water wetlands, perennial grassland buffer zones, adjacent walkways and parking lot. Elevation ranges between 4 and 24 feet. Area covers 15 acres which is 20% of site and includes 2 acres of fresh water storm return ponds which adds to the biodiversity of habitat area.
- 3) Zone 3 - Uplands: The uplands area will serve as a viewing area rising 46 feet from elevation 4 at the street intersection to elevation 50 with meadow areas. The predominately perennial grassland covers an area of 9.5 acres which is 12% of site.

The restoration of tidal and fresh water wetlands with perennial grassland transition areas will create habitat for several CALFED priority species. Restoration of this type is consistent with the objectives presented in the Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan for Suisun Marsh/North San Francisco Bay. Species which would benefit from this project includes Chinook Salmon, Spittail, Steelhead Trout, California Clapper Rail, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse and many other complimentary plant and animal species.

Costs for this project are broken into two phases which focus on the restoration of tidal and fresh water wetlands on the project site. This proposal requests \$1,000,000 in funding for Phase I development at this time only. An estimated \$4,242,000 in funds for Phase II will be sought in future proposals.

At this point, there are no foreseen adverse or third party impacts with the development of this project.

The project is led by Rosemary Alex, Park Planner with the Greater Vallejo Recreation District. The City of Vallejo Public Works Department and Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District will provide essential support with project development and review. Landscape Architectural consultants MPA Design which completed the master plan for River Park will lead the design of the park. In addition, environmental consultants will be hired to complete NEPA/CEQA documents. Focused areas of expertise would include hydrology, biological resources and soil science. The Greater Vallejo Recreation District will coordinate project monitoring with consultants.

II. Executive Summary

When the Greater Vallejo Recreation District initiated the master planning process in 1992 the effort included extensive community outreach and input. The plans also generated general support from regional, state and federal agencies including U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, State Fish and Game, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Regional Water Quality Control Board and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. As the project moves forward the Greater Vallejo Recreation District will continue to coordinate with state, federal and regional resource agencies.

This project is clearly compatible with CALFED objectives for improving ecosystem quality and water quality. Objectives are met specifically in the Suisun Marsh/North San Francisco Bay Ecological Management Zone with the restoration of saline emergent wetlands and perennial grassland.

III. Project Description

Proposed Scope of Work

The Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) proposes to restore 16 acres of tidal wetlands which would increase the total tidal wetland acreage at River Park to 36 acres. The wetlands would be adjoined by 14.5 acres of islands with saline emergent wetlands. Also included would be two acres of fresh water wetlands, 15 acres of uplands with pathways and a 9.5 acre vista area surrounded by native perennial grasses. Please refer to the River Park Master Plan figure 1. GVRD in conjunction with the City of Vallejo has completed a number of improvements ("before" and "after" aerial photos figure 2 & 3 and site photos figure 4) to further park development including:

1. Completion of park master plan
2. Construction of one acre of fresh water wetland
3. Construction of 90 car parking lot and perimeter planting
4. Construction of pedestrian trail along Wilson Avenue

In order to achieve the wetland restoration goals presented in the River Park Master Plan, GVRD has broken the project into two distinct phases as outlined below:

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase I

1. **Environmental Assessment** - Evaluation of the hydrologic function of the Napa River. This effort will consider the impacts of similar projects in various stages of development along the Napa River. Other important areas to address will include initial soil testing to determine the soil characteristics. Testing the soil for contaminants to ensure that they do not exist at a level considered a threat to species which would utilize the restored wetland. NEPA/CEQA documents will be prepared in conjunction with the focused study areas presented above. It is anticipated that this project will require a Mitigated Negative Declaration.
2. **Regulatory Permits** - The permitting process for River Park will be initiated during the preparation of the NEPA/CEQA documents. At that point, issues addressed during the environmental assessment should correlate to responses on the permit application. The following permits are anticipated to be completed during this time:
 - 1) San Francisco BCDC Major Permit
 - 2) State Fish & Game Streambed Alteration Agreement
 - 3) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Individual Permit
 - 4) Regional Water Quality Control Board Certification
3. **Design Development & Construction Documents** - Following a more thorough environmental evaluation of the site there may be some modifications to the conceptual plan for wetlands restoration. Construction documents will be initiated with the Landscape Architecture firm MPA Design.
4. **Construction** - Construction would entail the relocation of approximately 74,000 cubic yards of soil from "Cell A" to "Cell D", grading to create upland islands and appropriate tidal action. Once construction is complete in this area, approximately 5 acres of tidal wetlands and 4 acres of saline emergent wetlands will be restored. Please refer to figure 5 which diagrams the cells in River Park.
5. **Environmental Monitoring** - A monitoring plan will be developed in conjunction with the environmental assessment process. It is anticipated that monitoring will last a minimum of five years.

III. Project Description

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase II

6. **Design Development and Construction Documents** - With NEPA/CEQA documents and permits completed in Phase I design development and construction documents will be initiated at the start of this phase. The Landscape Architecture firm MPA Design will again lead the design for "Cell B, C and D".
7. **Construction** - Construction methods that proved successful in the development of "Cell A" will be utilized in this phase. Approximately 169,000 cubic yards of soil from "Cell B and C" will be relocated to "Cell D". "Cell B and C" will be graded to create the tidal wetland, fresh water wetland and transitional uplands. In this phase "Cell D" will be graded and hydroseeded to establish permanent grades and planting. With the completion of this phase 11 acres of tidal wetlands will be added to River Park along with 10 acres of saline emergent wetlands, one acre of fresh emergent wetland, 15 acres of midland perennial grasslands and finished development of the 9.5 acre perennial grassland acre vista area.

The following outline provides an overall schedule along with project management tasks defined:

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase I

Project Management Tasks	Deliverable	Completion Timeframe
Prepare RFP for Environmental Consultants Interview and select qualified firm Coordinate informational meetings with regulatory agencies (as required) Public notification GVRD Board approval of Final NEPA/CEQA	Final NEPA/CEQA Focused Reports: Hydrology Soil Conditions Wetland Delineation	October 1999 - October 2000
Prepare regulatory agency permits	Permits for the following: San Francisco BCDC State Fish and Game Army Corps of Engineers Regional Water Quality Control Board	July 2000 - December 2000
Contract with MPA Design	Design Development & Final Construction Documents for "Cell A"	September 2000 - April 2001
Complete Local Agency Permits	Permits for the following: City of Vallejo Public Works Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District	December 2000 - April 2001
Project Bid Period Advertise Project Distribute Plans & Specifications	Bid Opening	June 2001
Construction Administration	Construction	July 2001 - November 2001
Hire Consultants	Monitoring Period	January 2002 - January 2007

III. Project Description

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase II

Project Management Tasks	Deliverable	Completion Timeframe
Contract with MPA Design	Design Development & Final Construction Documents for "Cell B, C, & D"	November 2001- April 2002
Complete Local Agency Permits	Permits for the following City of Vallejo Public Works Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District	December 2001- April 2002
Project Bid Period Advertise Project Distribute Plans & Specifications	Bid Opening	June 2002
Construction Administration	Construction	July 2002 - October 2002
Hire consultants	Monitoring Period	January 2003 - January 2008

The timeframe described in the outline above is contingent on funding availability. Also the timing of construction may be modified such that existing wildlife is not disturbed. Finally, phasing could be modified as identified in Alternatives A or B:

Alternative A

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase I

1. Complete CEQA/NEPA documents
2. Complete Permits
3. Complete Construction Documents for "Cell A, B, C and D".

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase II

4. Complete Construction for "Cell A, B, C and D"
5. Monitoring of "Cell A, B and C"

Alternative B

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase I

1. Complete CEQA/NEPA documents
2. Complete Permits
3. Complete Construction Documents for "Cell A",

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase II

4. Complete Construction of "Cell A"
5. Monitoring of "Cell A"

River Park Wetland Restoration - Phase III

6. Complete Construction Documents for "Cell B, C and D"
7. Complete Construction of "Cell B, C and D"
8. Monitoring of "Cell B and C"

Location of Project


River Park is located in Solano County adjacent to the Napa River. The primary watershed draining through the site is from the developed urban hillside. Please refer to the U.S.G.S. quad map figure 6 at scale 1:24,000.

RK
PLAN

Planning for 1980-81
Design, Construction & Maintenance
of the State System
1980-81
Maintenance

Planning for 1980-81
Design, Construction & Maintenance

Planning for 1980-81
Design, Construction & Maintenance



1. The plan shows the proposed road network for the State System, including the main road network and the surrounding terrain. The plan is oriented horizontally, with the main road network in the center and the surrounding terrain on the left and right. The plan includes various lines, curves, and shading to represent the physical layout of the roads and the surrounding landscape. There are also some text labels and annotations scattered around the drawing, providing additional context and information.

2. The plan shows the proposed road network for the State System, including the main road network and the surrounding terrain. The plan is oriented horizontally, with the main road network in the center and the surrounding terrain on the left and right. The plan includes various lines, curves, and shading to represent the physical layout of the roads and the surrounding landscape. There are also some text labels and annotations scattered around the drawing, providing additional context and information.

3. The plan shows the proposed road network for the State System, including the main road network and the surrounding terrain. The plan is oriented horizontally, with the main road network in the center and the surrounding terrain on the left and right. The plan includes various lines, curves, and shading to represent the physical layout of the roads and the surrounding landscape. There are also some text labels and annotations scattered around the drawing, providing additional context and information.

4. The plan shows the proposed road network for the State System, including the main road network and the surrounding terrain. The plan is oriented horizontally, with the main road network in the center and the surrounding terrain on the left and right. The plan includes various lines, curves, and shading to represent the physical layout of the roads and the surrounding landscape. There are also some text labels and annotations scattered around the drawing, providing additional context and information.



1-0153315

1-0153315




Figure 2

I-015316




figure 3

I - 0 1 5 3 1 7



A. Waterfront Edge of River Park Highway 37 in Background



B. One Acre Freshwater Wetland from Urban Storm Drain into River Park

Figure





Figure 5



1 -0 1 5 3 2 0

I-015320




figure 6

I - 0 1 5 3 2 1

IV. Ecological/Biological Benefits

Ecological/Biological Objectives

The primary ecological objective is to improve the Bay-Delta aquatic food web by restoring tidal and freshwater wetland habitat including transitional upland habitat along the Napa River. Once complete, the intertidal zone and upland ecotone at River Park will provide valuable habitat for a variety of CAL-FED priority listed species. At completion River Park will provide the following proportioned habitats:

1)	Tidal Perennial Aquatic Habitat	36 acres
2)	Saline Emergent Wetlands	14.5 acres
3)	Fresh Emergent Wetlands	2 acres
4)	Perennial Grassland/Upland	22.5 acres

In restoring these essential habitats water quality and productivity of the Bay-Delta aquatic food web will improve for the following species:

CALFED High Priority At-Risk Species

- 1) Chinook salmon
- 2) Spittail
- 3) Steelhead Trout

CALFED At-Risk Species Priority Group II

- 1) Migratory Birds
- 2) California Clapper Rail
- 3) Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse

The restoration of habitat in River Park will also provide a positive impact on existing stressors including:

- 1) Levees - Project will remove existing upland dikes allowing less impeded river flow
- 2) Sediment Disposal - The project proposes to relocate the sediment used to fill what was once tidal wetlands, thus reversing the impacts caused by the infill.

The project is essential in providing continuity of habitats along the Napa River to the Carquinez Straits and into Suisun Marsh. The site is identified in the *Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals Report* and *Carquinez Strait Resource Plan* for restoration of wetlands. In reference to the *Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals Report* the historic view of the site indicates that it was a combination of tidal flat and tidal marsh. The report also reflects the historic view of the Mare Island's river edge which had a significant portion of tidal flat and tidal marsh. With the heavy industrial development along the Mare Island shoreline it is unlikely that those wetlands will ever be restored. It is imperative that the River Park site be restored to provide at least a fraction of what was once predominately marshland along the lower portion of the Napa River. The project will also further ERP habitat restoration targets for saline emergent wetlands and perennial grasslands along the Napa River.

It is supposed that the habitat restored will improve the productivity of the Bay-Delta aquatic food web. Site evaluation and monitoring will investigate the diversity and composition of plant and animal life which recolonizes River Park over a five year period. A comparison of baseline expectations with the actual project will determine areas which prove successful in habitat restoration. The project will incorporate adaptive management measures to further both short and long term success of the project.

IV. Ecological/Biological Benefits

The project meets and ecosystem-based approach in that it provides a balanced composition of habitat types which would support a diversity plant and animal species. Additionally, the location along the lower portion of the Napa River and projects in development upstream will provide improved habitat connections.

Linkages

The River Park tidal wetland restoration project has evolved over the last several years. The 1993 River Park Master Plan included the existing houses which directly border the park. In subsequent years the City of Vallejo Public Works Department developed the Wilson Avenue Expansion Plan between Highway 37 and Mare Island Causeway. As designed, the road would eliminate the row of houses which directly border the park. This road design resulted in a modification of the River Park Master Plan primarily with elimination of the houses. The most recent revision in the River Park Master Plan occurred in 1998 and is the basis for this proposal. The primary changes include enlarging the fresh water wetlands from 1 to 2 acres and increasing the upland buffer areas between the urban edge and tidal wetlands. The currently approved River Park Master Plan establishes an improved balance of habitats.

In 1998 the City of Vallejo Public Works Department completed Phase I of the Wilson Avenue Project. Included in the project's scope of work were some enhancements within River Park including perimeter planting and pathway, construction of 90-car parking lot and a one acre fresh water wetland. That is the extent of physical improvements which have occurred in River Park.

The project meets the following ERP strategic objectives:

- 1) Volume I - Bay-Delta Aquatic Food Web (page 46) "The abundance of many species in the estuary may be limited by low productivity at the base of the food web in the estuarine ecosystem. increasing the acreage of tidal or seasonally flooded marshlands, will contribute to the solution."
- 2) Volume I - Tidal Perennial Aquatic Habitat (page 103) "Increase the area of tidal perennial aquatic habitat ... San Francisco Bay"
- 3) Volume I - Saline Emergent Wetland (page 103) "Increase the area of saline emergent wetland habitat ... San Francisco Bay"
- 4) Volume I - Fresh Emergent Wetland (page 104) "Increase the area of fresh emergent wetlands ... San Francisco Bay"
- 5) Volume I - Perennial Grassland (page 104) "Restore perennial grassland habitat in conjunction with restoration of wetland and riparian habitats in order to provide high-quality habitat conditions ..."

The project supports the following ERP targets for the Napa River:

- 1) Volume II - Saline Emergent Wetland (page 150) Target restore 1,000-2,000 acres of saline emergent wetland.
- 2) Volume II - Perennial Grassland (page 150) Target restore 1,000 acres of perennial grassland.

Implementing the proposed improvements will expand habitat acreage which will increase productivity of the Bay-Delta aquatic food web and improve water quality to support CALFED priority species. There are no existing legal obligations or agency mandates.

IV. Ecological/Biological Benefits

System-Wide Ecosystem Benefits

The location of the project would complement current habitat restoration projects along the Napa River and throughout the region. The lower stretch of the Napa River provides little opportunity for habitat restoration. The progressive infill of wetlands in the lower Napa River leaves only fragments of habitats. Implementation of this project will provide a continuity of habitats along the Napa River.

Compatibility with Non-Ecosystem Objectives

The establishment of fresh water wetlands along the urban storm drain runoff outlets will "filter" some contaminants and sediment. The wetlands will improve water quality as it flows into the Napa River which is the second largest freshwater source to the San Francisco Bay.

The third party benefit would be a visual shoreline enhancement for Vallejo citizens and local homeowners.

V. Technical Feasibility and Timing

As explained in section IV Linkages, there has been a series of modifications to the River Park Master Plan. The currently approved plan presents a well balanced variety of habitat types. There has not been a hydraulic study completed for the Napa River in the vicinity of the site. It is expected that master plan may be altered again to ensure the proper flow of water and sediment into the site.

Environmental documents/reports anticipated to be completed would include Napa River hydrology, soil testing of the dredge spoils for harmful contaminants and Wetlands Jurisdictional Delineation Map.

Since the nature of the project is considered a benefit for the environment, it is anticipated that a Mitigated Negative Declaration will be prepared along with additional NEPA compliance documents. These environmental compliance documents will be supported in part by the hydrology, soil testing and wetlands delineation reports.

Permits which are anticipated for this project include:

- 1) San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission - Major Permit
- 2) California State Fish and Game - Streambed Alteration Agreement
- 3) Army Corps of Engineers - Individual Permit
- 4) Regional Water Quality Control Board - Certification
- 5) City of Vallejo - Grading Permit
- 6) Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District

Permits will be implemented as the project moves through the environmental evaluation and design refinement process. The site is zoned as a park site and there should be no constraints which would impact the schedule and implementability of the project.

The significant issue involving implementation at this time is project funding.

VI. Monitoring and Data Collection Methodology

The monitoring program will be developed for River Park during the planning process. The program will incorporate adaptive management measures. CALFED will review and approve the final monitoring and adaptive management plan for this project.

It is generally assumed that the habitat restored will improve the productivity of the Bay-Delta aquatic food web. Primary factors which determine habitat type include elevational gradation, mean high tideline and rate of sediment deposition. Site evaluation and monitoring will investigate tidal flow, and assess the diversity and composition of plant and animal life which recolonizes River Park. A comparison of baseline expectations with the actual project will determine which measures prove successful in habitat restoration. The feedback provided to the adaptive management plan will conclude whether modifications need to be implemented for both short and long term success of the project.

Specific monitoring parameters, data collection and data evaluation approach will be developed for CALFED review and approval as the project moves through the planning process.

VII. Local Involvement

VII. Local Involvement

The following county personnel and elected public officials have been notified (attached letters):

- 1) Harry Englebright - Solano County Senior Planner
- 2) Barbara Kondylis - Solano County Supervisor
- 3) John Silva - Solano County Supervisor

Additionally, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission has been informed of this proposal (attached letter).

The year-long master planning process during 1992-1993 included extensive local community outreach and participation. A series of four community workshops were held to solicit the necessary input for design development. The first workshop included a walking tour of the site and questionnaire to prompt participants views and opinions.

Workshop #2 was held four months later to present site analysis and program information to the public. At that workshop, the public put to paper their own design ideas for the park based on programmatic and site criteria established earlier.

At the third workshop, the consultant team presented two conceptual alternatives exhibiting a range of ideas expressed by the public. These designs were reviewed as alternatives with the public contributing opinions and new ideas based upon their perceptions and evolving ideas. The two conceptual alternatives were also reviewed with various jurisdictional agencies within the context of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Interagency Review process. The two plans were presented to the Corps, State Department of Fish and Game, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Environmental Protection Agency and Regional Water Quality Control Board. A separate meeting was held with San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission and a site meeting was held with the State Coastal Conservancy.

Based on input from the three meetings a final master plan was presented at the fourth workshop. Since 1993, the master plan has been modified due to various circumstances as explained in section IV Linkages. This proposal is based on the currently approved 1998 River Park Master Plan.

Since 1993, community awareness of River Park's development has continued. The Wilson Avenue Expansion Project focused attention on the park and modifications proposed for its interface with the urban edge. The Greater Vallejo Recreation District participates in the annual Pacific Flyway Festival held on Mare Island. The festival provides ongoing informational outreach to the public. As the park continues towards full development local outreach will persist. Many local organizations and citizens are aware and share general consensus on the River Park Master Plan. Attached are letters from representative number of entities.

Development of the park will occur on City of Vallejo property. The Greater Vallejo Recreation District holds a master lease with the City of Vallejo for maintenance and development of the park. The City of Vallejo is aware and will be included in the development of the park. There are no third party impacts anticipated.



GREATER VALLEJO RECREATION DISTRICT

Board of Directors
Suzanne Huddleston
R.E. Keith
Michael Palmaffy
Pelton Stewart
Kay Woodson

General Manager
Patricia Gloyd

395 Amador Street • Vallejo, CA 94590-6394 707 • 648-4600 Fax 707 • 648-4616

April 15, 1999

Mr. Harry Englebright
Solano County Environmental Management
601 Texas
Fairfield, CA 94533

RE: CALFED BAY-DELTA GRANT PROPOSAL FOR RIVER PARK

Dear Mr. Englebright:

Located on the eastern bank of Mare Island Strait, River Park consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) along with community input completed a master plan for the project which will excavate a portion of the dredge materials and restore additional tidal and freshwater wetlands on the site.

On April 16, 1999 GVRD will be submitting a funding proposal to the Calfed Bay-Delta Program for \$1 million dollars. If GVRD receives funds the scope of work would include completion of CEQA/NEPA documents and associated regulatory agency permits for the entire site, design development of "Cell A", construction of "Cell A" and monitoring the restoration site (cell A) for five years. Restoration of tidal wetlands and upland islands in "Cell A" will provide essential habitat for a variety of species including the California clapper rail, spittail fish, chinook salmon and many others. Enclosed is a diagram which reflects "Cell A".

If you have any further questions or need additional information please contact me at 707-648-4602.


ROSEMARY ALEX
Park Planner

enclosure



GREATER VALLEJO RECREATION DISTRICT

Board of Directors
Suzanne Huddleston
R.E. Keith
Michael Palmaffy
Pelton Stewart
Kay Woodson
General Manager
Patricia Gloyd

395 Amador Street • Vallejo, CA 94590-6394 707 • 648-4600 Fax 707 • 648-4616

April 15, 1999

Honorable John Silva
Supervisor
Solano County Board of Supervisors
580 Texas
Fairfield, CA 94533

RE: CALFED BAY-DELTA GRANT PROPOSAL FOR RIVER PARK

Dear Supervisor Silva:

Located on the eastern bank of Mare Island Strait, River Park consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) along with community input completed a master plan for the project which will excavate a portion of the dredge materials and restore additional tidal and freshwater wetlands on the site.

On April 16, 1999 GVRD will be submitting a funding proposal to the Calfed Bay-Delta Program for \$1 million dollars. If GVRD receives funds the scope of work would include completion of CEQA/NEPA documents and associated regulatory agency permits for the entire site, design development of "Cell A", construction of "Cell A" and monitoring the restoration site (cell A) for five years. Restoration of tidal wetlands and upland islands in "Cell A" will provide essential habitat for a variety of species including the California clapper rail, spittail fish, chinook salmon and many others. Enclosed is a diagram which reflects "Cell A".

If you have any further questions or need additional information please contact me at 707-648-4602.

ROSEMARY ALEX
Park Planner

enclosure



GREATER VALLEJO RECREATION DISTRICT

Board of Directors
Suzanne Huddleston
R.E. Keith
Michael Palmaffy
Pelton Stewart
Kay Woodson

General Manager
Patricia Gloyd

395 Amador Street • Vallejo, CA 94590-6394 707 • 648-4600 Fax 707 • 648-4616

April 15, 1999

Mr. Jeffery Jensen
Coastal Planner
San Francisco Bay Conservation
and Development Commission
30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2011
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: CALFED BAY-DELTA GRANT PROPOSAL FOR RIVER PARK

Dear Mr. Jensen:

Located on the eastern bank of Mare Island Strait, River Park consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) along with community input completed a master plan for the project which will excavate a portion of the dredge materials and restore additional tidal and freshwater wetlands on the site.

On April 16, 1999 GVRD will be submitting a funding proposal to the Calfed Bay-Delta Program for \$1 million dollars. If GVRD receives funds the scope of work would include completion of CEQA/NEPA documents and associated regulatory agency permits for the entire site, design development of "Cell A", construction of "Cell A" and monitoring the restoration site (cell A) for five years. Restoration of tidal wetlands and upland islands in "Cell A" will provide essential habitat for a variety of species including the California clapper rail, spittail fish, chinook salmon and many others. Enclosed is a diagram which reflects "Cell A".

If you have any further questions or need additional information please contact me at 707-648-4602.

Rosemary Alex
ROSEMARY ALEX
Park Planner

enclosure



GREATER VALLEJO RECREATION DISTRICT

Board of Directors
Suzanne Huddleston
R.E. Keith
Michael Palmaffy
Pelton Stewart
Kay Woodson
General Manager
Patricia Gloyd

395 Amador Street • Vallejo, CA 94590-6394 707 • 648-4600 Fax 707 • 648-4616

April 15, 1999

Honorable Barbara Kondylis
Supervisor, District I
Solano County Board of Supervisors
580 Texas
Fairfield, CA 94533

RE: CALFED BAY-DELTA GRANT PROPOSAL FOR RIVER PARK

Dear Supervisor Kondylis:

Located on the eastern bank of Mare Island Strait, River Park consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) along with community input completed a master plan for the project which will excavate a portion of the dredge materials and restore additional tidal and freshwater wetlands on the site.

On April 16, 1999 GVRD will be submitting a funding proposal to the Calfed Bay-Delta Program for \$1 million dollars. If GVRD receives funds the scope of work would include completion of CEQA/NEPA documents and associated regulatory agency permits for the entire site, design development of "Cell A", construction of "Cell A" and monitoring the restoration site (cell A) for five years. Restoration of tidal wetlands and upland islands in "Cell A" will provide essential habitat for a variety of species including the California clapper rail, spittail fish, chinook salmon and many others. Enclosed is a diagram which reflects "Cell A".

If you have any further questions or need additional information please contact me at 707-648-4602.

Rosemary Alex
ROSEMARY ALEX
Park Planner

enclosure

GEORGE MILLER

7TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

2206 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0507
(202) 225-2095
www.house.gov/georgemiller

DANIEL WEISS
CHIEF OF STAFF

COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES
SENIOR DEMOCRAT

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION
AND THE WORKFORCE

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-0507

DISTRICT OFFICES:

1333 WILLOW PASS RD.
SUITE 203
CONCORD, CA 94520
(925) 902-1880
DAVID TUCKER
DISTRICT DIRECTOR

3220 BLUME DR.
SUITE 261
RICHMOND, CA 94806
(510) 262-6500

1410 GEORGIA ST.
VALLEJO, CA 94590
(707) 645-1888

TTY (202) 225-1804

April 15, 1999

Wendy Halverson Martin
CalFed Bay-Delta Program
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, CA 95814


Dear Ms. Martin:

I am writing in strong support of the \$1,000,000 grant request from the Greater Vallejo Recreation District to restore a portion of River Park in Vallejo to tidal wetlands.

Located on the eastern shore of Mare Island Strait, River Park consists of twenty acres of salt water tidal marshes and fifty-five acres of diked dredge spoils resulting from over a century of dredging activities. In 1993, the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) in conjunction with community input completed a master plan for the project which will excavate a portion of the dredge materials and restore additional tidal and freshwater wetlands. The scope of work will include completion of the Environmental Impact Report and associated regulatory agency permits for the entire site, design development, construction, and five years of monitoring of 'Cell A'.

Restoration of tidal wetlands and upland islands in 'Cell A' will provide essential habitat for a variety of endangered or at-risk species including the clapper rail, salt marsh harvest mouse, spittail fish, chinook salmon, and many others. This project seems a perfect fit for CalFed's goals, and I urge your favorable consideration of this request.

Sincerely,



George Miller
Member of Congress
7th District, California



Napa-Solano Audubon Society

Post Office Box 5150

Vallejo, CA 94591

April 9, 1999

CALFED Bay-Delta Program Office
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, CA 95814

Attn: Wendy Halverson Martin

Dear Ms. Martin,

I am writing to you today in behalf of the 900+ members of the Napa-Solano Audubon Society (NSAS) as a member of its conservation committee, urging you approve Greater Vallejo Recreation District's (GVRD) grant proposal of a million dollars to improve River Park.

Here is some back ground. Circa 1992, the citizens in Vallejo Heights along with conservation groups, fought the GVRD's idea to put lighted mega softball fields to host regional softball tournaments in what is known as River Park in Northwestern Vallejo. River Park is like an amphitheater to the Vallejo Heights area. Vallejo Heights residents were worried that there would be a lot of noise and rowdiness associated with GVRD's proposal. In order to be proactive on this matter, the group proposed an environmental park. In 1993, through hard work and the cooperation of GVRD, a master plan of River Park was completed that suited the citizens of Vallejo and that area. The proposal would convert the 75 acre diked seasonal wetland into a tidal and freshwater wetland. There would be an attempt to enhance the area for the federally endangered California Clapper rail (*rallus longirostris obsoletus*), and California brown pelican (*pelecanus occidentalis californicus*), federal species of concern San Pablo (Samuel's) song sparrow (*melospiza melodia samuelis*) and saltmarsh common yellowthroat (*geothlypis trichas sinuosa*) and perhaps even the California threatened California black rail (*laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus*). Additionally, it may provide habitat for the federally endangered winter-run chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Federally proposed threatened Sacramento splittail (*pogonichthys macrolepidotus*). It is necessary to complete the Environmental Impact Report, and design to create this parcel into what was envisioned. The NSAS supports GVRD's grant application for \$1 million dollars to help complete this park and asks you to approve this grant.

Thank you for your time on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robin Leong,
NSAS conservation committee member

cc: GVRD Rosemary Alex

790 Derr St.,
Vallejo, Ca 94590
April 8, 1999

G.V.R.D.

99 APR 12 PM 2:43

CALFED Bay-Delta Program Office
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1144
Sacramento, Ca 95814
Attn: Wendy H. Martin

Re: River Park, Vallejo, CA


Dear Ms. Martin,

I am writing to you to express my support for the funding proposal submitted to your office from the Greater Vallejo Recreation District (GVRD) for construction of improvements to River Park. As a Commissioner with the City of Vallejo Beautification Advisory Committee, as President of the Board of Directors for the Bridgeport Property Owners Assoc. and as a member of the Vallejo Planning Commission, I would like to express to you the urgent need for improvements to River Park. The planned improvements would benefit not only the neighborhood residents who adjoin River Park but would be a tremendous addition to the quality of life for everyone in Vallejo as well as for wildlife and the environment.

As a City, we are embarking on a major renovation of our waterfront and River Park could be the crown jewel of that plan. Once completed, we anticipate that it will be a park used by the entire region, not just Vallejo. The current condition of River Park is poor and many hesitate to use the park because of its condition.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can answer any questions or provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Mary M. Fraser

Commissioner, City of Vallejo Planning Commission
Commissioner, City of Vallejo Beautification Advisory Committee
President, Bridgeport Property Owners Association Board of Directors

Phase I Budget

Phase I Task -Subtask	Direct Labor Hours	Direct Salary Benefit	Service Contracts	Material & Acquisition Costs	Miscellaneous & other Direct Costs	Overhead and Indirect Costs	Total Cost
1.1 Environmental Assessment							
- Hydraulic Study Consultant			\$40,000				\$40,000
- Soil Testing Consultant			\$15,000				\$15,000
- Wetlands Delineation Map Revision Consultant			\$3,000				\$3,000
- Project Management Staff(I)	250	\$10,000					\$10,000
1.2 CEQA/NEPA Documentation							
- Preparation of Document Consultant			\$50,000				\$50,000
- Project Management Staff(I)	250	\$10,000					\$10,000
1.3 Permitting							
- Preparation of Regulatory Permits Staff(I)	150	\$8,000					\$8,000
- Permit Fees					\$10,000		\$10,000
1.4 Master Plan Update							
- Master Plan Revisions Consultant			\$14,000				\$14,000
- Project Management Staff(I)	50	\$2,000					\$2,000
1.5 Construction Plans and Specifications							
- Preparation of Construction Documents Consultant			\$64,500				\$64,500
- Project Management Staff(I)	200	\$8,000					\$8,000
1.6 Construction Cell A							
- Contractor			\$681,000			30% OH/Cont. \$174,000	\$755,000
- Construction Observation Consultant			\$18,500				\$18,500
- Construction Inspection Staff/City (I)	300	\$15,000					\$15,000
1.7 Environmental Monitoring (5 Years)							
- Plan/Monitoring Consultant			\$30,000				\$30,000
- Project Management Staff (I)	200	\$8,000					\$8,000
Phase I Total	1,400	\$59,000	\$816,000		\$10,000	\$174,000	\$1,059,000

Funding : (I) Greater Vallejo Recreation District \$59,000

CALFED funds \$1,000,000

Total \$1,059,000

VIII. Cost

I - 015335

I-015335

VIII. Cost

Phase II Budget

Phase II Task -Subtask	Direct Labor Hours	Direct Salary Benefit	Service Contracts	Material & Acquisition Costs	Miscellaneous & other Direct Costs	Overhead and Indirect Costs	Total Cost
2.1 Permitting							
- Preparation of Regulatory Permit Staff(s)	20	\$900					\$900
- Permit Fees					\$2,000		\$2,000
2.2 Construction Plans and Specifications							
- Preparation of Construction Documents Consultant			\$150,000				\$150,000
- Project Management Staff(s)	950	\$14,000					\$14,000
2.3 Construction Cell B,C & D							
- Construction			\$9,020,000			\$880,000	\$4,000,000
- Construction Observation Consultant			\$42,000				\$42,000
- Construction Inspection Staff/City(s)	500	\$25,000					\$25,000
- Testing Soil Compaction			\$15,000				\$15,000
2.4 Environmental Monitoring							
- Monitoring Consultant			\$39,000				\$39,000
- Project Management Staff(s)	200	\$9,000					\$9,000
Total Phase II	1,070	\$47,800	\$3,260,000		\$2,000	\$880,000	\$4,299,800

Funding: (f) Greater Vallejo Recreation District \$47,800 CALFED funds \$4,242,000 Total \$4,289,800

Phase I Quarterly Report for CALFED funds only.

Task	Quarterly Budget Oct-Dec 1999	Quarterly Budget Jan-Mar 2000	Quarterly Budget Apr-Jun 2000	Quarterly Budget Jul-Sep 2000	Quarterly Budget Oct-Dec 2000	Quarterly Budget Jan-Mar 2001	Quarterly Budget Apr-Jun 2001	Quarterly Budget Jul-Sep 2001	Quarterly Budget Oct-Dec 2001	Annual Budget Jan 2002-Jan 2003	Annual Budget Jan 2003-Jan 2004	Annual Budget Jan 2004-Jan 2005	Annual Budget Jan 2005-Jan 2006	Annual Budget Jan 2006-Jan 2007	Total Budget
Task 1.1	\$5,000	\$30,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$8,000										\$59,000
Task 1.2			\$20,000	\$20,000											\$50,000
Task 1.3					\$6,000	\$2,000									\$10,000
Task 1.4					\$14,000										\$14,000
Task 1.5						\$20,000	\$34,500								\$54,500
Task 1.6								\$279,500	\$420,000	\$50,000					\$749,500
Task 1.7										\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$30,000
Total															\$1,000,000

VIII. Cost

Schedule Milestones

Phase I

1.1	Environmental Assessment	October 2000
1.2	CEQA/NEPA Documentation	October 2000
1.3	Permitting	December 2000
1.4	Master Plan Update	December 2000
1.5	Construction Documents	May 2001
1.6	Construction Completion	November 2001
1.7	Monitoring	January 2002-January 2007

Phase II

2.1	Permitting	April 2002
2.2	Construction Documents	April 2002
2.3	Construction Completion	November 2002
2.4	Monitoring	January 2003-January 2008

IX. Cost Sharing

IX. Cost-Sharing

Cost sharing for this proposal is outlined in the tables presented in section VIII. Cost. Over the last few years there has been opportunities to complete segments of the park. Work that has been accomplished to date includes the following:

1)	Completion of park master plan.	40,000
	Funding Source: City park dedication funds	
2)	Construction of one acre of fresh water wetland	50,000
	Funding Source: 75% Federal - 25% City property tax	
3)	Construction of 90 car parking lot and perimeter planting	150,000
	Funding Source: 75% Federal - 25% Local property tax	
4)	Construction of pedestrian trail along Wilson Avenue.	60,000
	Funding Source: 75% Federal - 25% Local property tax	
Total		\$300,000

X. Applicant Qualifications

X. Applicant Qualifications

Rosemary Alex, Park Planner Greater Vallejo Recreation District
Project Manager for River Park

Qualifications

Project Manager for Community Development Block Grant funded projects - City of Oakland, Office of Parks and Recreation.

Design and project management for Gateway Gardens Firestorm Memorial in the Oakland Hills - City of Oakland, Office of Parks and Recreation

Construction Inspection Dan Foley/Wilson Park Restoration Project - Greater Vallejo Recreation District

Project Manager for River Park, McIntyre Ranch, Glen Cove Waterfront Park, North Vallejo Community Center Development and Children's Wonderland Restoration with the Greater Vallejo Recreation District.

MPA Design - Firm Overview

MPA Design has 30 years experience in design of waterfront parks and restoration of wetlands, sand dunes and native plant and animal habitat areas. MPA Design's most significant projects include Asilomar, Spanish Bay, Scenic Parkway for Doyle Drive (San Francisco Presidio), River Park - Vallejo, and San Rafael Shoreline. The continuity of service and quality of completed projects has resulted in public recognition and award winning designs. MPA has received over 105 design awards for completed projects and community service.

Michael Painter, FASLA

Proposed Role in River Park Project: Principal in Charge

Education: M.L.A. Urban Design, Harvard Graduate School of Design, 1966; B.S., Landscape Architecture, University of California, Berkeley, 1956

Registration: Landscape Architect, California (#971), New York, Pennsylvania, Texas; Certified by National Council of Landscape Architectural Registration Boards

Gerald Kawamoto, ASLA

Proposed Role in River Park Project: Park Design

Education: B.A. Landscape Architecture, University of California, Berkeley, 1977 Cum Laude

Registration: Landscape Architect, California (#2192)

Gary Leach, City Engineer City of Vallejo

River Park Technical Review

Qualifications

Over twenty-eight years of diversified management experience in civil engineering and public works administration.

Engineering experience has included street and highway improvements, water distribution facilities, storm drainage systems, bridge structures, landslide repairs, buildings, park and recreation facilities, creek improvements and master plan studies.

Responsible for determination of multiple project impacts, including environmental, social and economic. Specific work included definition of study requirements, evaluation, application of relevant information, presentation of analytical techniques, mitigation measures and design recommendations through technical reports, environmental impact statements and public hearings.

California registered Civil Engineer #24206.

X. Applicant Qualifications

Charles Mosley, Interim Engineer-Manager Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District
River Park Technical Review
Qualifications

Registered Civil Engineer State of California

Reviewed and approved plans for Lake Chabot creek improvements (over course of several years from Lake Chabot to west of Highway 29). Several segments involved wetland mitigation issues.

Oversaw contract for Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District to design and install North Interceptor through this area.

Worked on several projects involving mitigation for wildlife and plant life.

Oversaw development of area storm water master plan.

Supervised Engineering and Field Maintenance Divisions for Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District.

Additional environmental specialists will be hired to complete the Napa River hydraulic study, soil testing, wetland delineation map, NEPA/CEQA certification and monitoring plan.

ATTACHMENTS
COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Table D-1: Standard Contract Clauses and Related Proposal Submittal Requirements

Item ²	Standard Clauses and Proposal Requirements ³	Services, Consulting, Preconstruction, Research ¹					Public Works, Construction ¹				
		State	Federal	Public	Non-profit	Private	State	Federal	Public	Non-profit	Private
4100	Contracts With Public Entities			C					C		
4099	Service & Consultant Service Contracts with Nonpublic Entity				C	C				C	C
4099a	Additional Standard Clauses		C	C	C	C		C	C	C	C
4187	Interagency Agreements	C					C				
4247	Contracts with United States		C					C			
4197	General Conditions for Public Works Contracts								C	C	C
4196	Insurance Requirements								C	C	C
18	Nondiscrimination Construction Contract Specifications								C	C	C
4021	Bidders Bond or other Security (if contract value > \$107,000) ⁴									P	P
19	Nondiscrimination Compliance				P	P				P	P
807	Payment Bond								C	C	C
156	Performance Bond								C	C	C
4206	Non Collusion Affidavit								P	P	P
4186	Small Business Preference				P	P				P	P
n/a	Proof of Contractor's License									P	P
n/a	Certificate of Insurance								C	C	C

Legend: P = Submitted with Proposal.
C = Submitted or compliance required before or at time of final contract.

¹State: State of California agencies, including State (California) Universities. Federal: Federal agencies. Public: Public entities, such as city, county, other local government entities, resource conservation districts, and out-of-state public universities. Non-profits must also be registered with Department of General Services.

²Item numbering refers to documents following this table.

³All contract terms and standard clauses apply to any subcontracts made by Contractor.

⁴Types of security include cashiers check, cash, certified check, or bidder's bond in an amount equal to 10 percent of the proposal amount.

Agreement No. _____

Exhibit _____

**NONCOLLUSION AFFIDAVIT TO BE EXECUTED BY
BIDDER AND SUBMITTED WITH BID FOR PUBLIC WORKS**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)ss
COUNTY OF Solano)

_____, being first duly sworn, deposes and
(name)
says that he or she is _____ of
(position title)

(the bidder)

the party making the foregoing bid that the bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the public body awarding the contract of anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company, association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

DATED: _____

By _____
(person signing for bidder)

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

(Notarial Seal)

U.S. Department of the Interior

Certifications Regarding Debarment, Suspension and
Other Responsibility Matters, Drug-Free Workplace
Requirements and Lobbying

Persons signing this form should refer to the regulations referenced below for complete instructions:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions - The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled, "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. See below for language to be used; use this form for certification and sign; or use Department of the Interior Form 1954 (DI-1954). (See Appendix A of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions - (See Appendix B of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements - Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals) and Alternate II. (Grantees Who are Individuals) - (See Appendix C of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12)

Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 43 CFR Parts 12 and 18. The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of the Interior determines to award the covered transaction, grant, cooperative agreement or loan.

PART A: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions

CHECK ☒ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
 - (a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
 - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
 - (c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
 - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

PART B: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

CHECK ☒ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

04-2018
March 1995
(This form consolidates 06-1953, 06-1954,
24-1955, 06-1956 and 04-1963)

PART C: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK ☒ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

A. The grantee certifies that it will or continue to provide a drug-free workplace by

- (a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
- (b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about—
 - (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 - (2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 - (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
 - (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- (c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);
- (d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will —
 - (1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and
 - (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
- (e) Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification numbers(s) of each affected grant;
- (f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted —
 - (1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or
 - (2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;
- (g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a) (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).

B. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Greater Vallejo Recreation District

395 Amador Street, Vallejo, CA 94590

Check ☒ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

Project site is located on the Napa River South of Highway 37

PART D: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK ☐ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

- (a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant;
- (b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to the grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

PART E: Certification Regarding Lobbying
Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

CHECK IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND
THE AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT;
SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.


CHECK IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF A FEDERAL
LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000, OR A SUBGRANT OR
SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$100,000, UNDER THE LOAN.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

As the authorized certifying official, I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true.


SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

Rosemary Alex, Park Planner
TYPED NAME AND TITLE

DATE April 16, 1999

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE

OMB Approval No. 0348-0045

1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION: Application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction Preapplication <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction		2. DATE SUBMITTED April 16, 1999	Applicant Identifier
		3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	State Application Identifier
		4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY April 16, 1999	Federal Identifier

5. APPLICANT INFORMATION Legal Name: Greater Vallejo Recreation District Address (give city, county, State, and zip code): 395 Amador Street Vallejo, CA 94590		Organizational Unit: Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code): Rosemary Alex, Park Planner (707) 648-4600
---	--	--

6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN): <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> 94 - 6004013 </div>	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (enter appropriate letter in box) <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> A. State B. County C. Municipal D. Township E. Interstate F. Intermunicipal G. Special District </div> <div> H. Independent School Dist. I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning J. Private University K. Indian Tribe L. Individual M. Profit Organization N. Other (Specify) _____ </div> </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: -20px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">G</div> </div>
--	--

8. TYPE OF APPLICATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration D. Decrease Duration Other(specify): _____	9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY:
---	---

10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER: <div style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> - <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> </div> TITLE: N/A	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: CALFED-Bay Delta Program River Park Tidal & Fresh Water Wetlands Restoration Project Napa River
--	--

12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): City of Vallejo Solano County, CA	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF: 7th District
--	---

13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date Ending Date 10/99 10/01	a. Applicant 	b. Project
---	-------------------------	-----------------------

15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:20%;">a. Federal</td> <td style="width:10%;">\$</td> <td style="width:40%;">1,000,000</td> <td style="width:10%;">00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Applicant</td> <td>\$</td> <td>59,000</td> <td>00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. State</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. Local</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>e. Other</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>f. Program Income</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>g. TOTAL</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,059,000</td> <td>00</td> </tr> </table>	a. Federal	\$	1,000,000	00	b. Applicant	\$	59,000	00	c. State	\$		00	d. Local	\$		00	e. Other	\$		00	f. Program Income	\$		00	g. TOTAL	\$	1,059,000	00	16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS? a. YES. THIS PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON: DATE _____ b. No. <input type="checkbox"/> PROGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E. O. 12372 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OR PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE FOR REVIEW
a. Federal	\$	1,000,000	00																										
b. Applicant	\$	59,000	00																										
c. State	\$		00																										
d. Local	\$		00																										
e. Other	\$		00																										
f. Program Income	\$		00																										
g. TOTAL	\$	1,059,000	00																										

17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If "Yes," attach an explanation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
--

18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.		
a. Type Name of Authorized Representative Rosemary Alex	b. Title Park Planner	c. Telephone Number (707) 648-4600
d. Signature of Authorized Representative		e. Date Signed April 16, 1999

Previous Edition Usable
Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424 (Rev. 7-97)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

I - 0 1 5 3 4 8

I-015348

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0043), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

This is a standard form used by applicants as a required facesheet for preapplications and applications submitted for Federal assistance. It will be used by Federal agencies to obtain applicant certification that States which have established a review and comment procedure in response to Executive Order 12372 and have selected the program to be included in their process, have been given an opportunity to review the applicant's submission.

- | Item: | Entry: | Item: | Entry: |
|---|--------|--|--------|
| 1. Self-explanatory. | | 12. List only the largest political entities affected (e.g., State, counties, cities). | |
| 2. Date application submitted to Federal agency (or State if applicable) and applicant's control number (if applicable). | | 13. Self-explanatory. | |
| 3. State use only (if applicable). | | 14. List the applicant's Congressional District and any District(s) affected by the program or project. | |
| 4. If this application is to continue or revise an existing award, enter present Federal identifier number. If for a new project, leave blank. | | 15. Amount requested or to be contributed during the first funding/budget period by each contributor. Value of in-kind contributions should be included on appropriate lines as applicable. If the action will result in a dollar change to an existing award, indicate <i>only</i> the amount of the change. For decreases, enclose the amounts in parentheses. If both basic and supplemental amounts are included, show breakdown on an attached sheet. For multiple program funding, use totals and show breakdown using same categories as item 15. | |
| 5. Legal name of applicant, name of primary organizational unit which will undertake the assistance activity, complete address of the applicant, and name and telephone number of the person to contact on matters related to this application. | | | |
| 6. Enter Employer Identification Number (EIN) as assigned by the Internal Revenue Service. | | 16. Applicants should contact the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC) for Federal Executive Order 12372 to determine whether the application is subject to the State intergovernmental review process. | |
| 7. Enter the appropriate letter in the space provided. | | | |
| 8. Check appropriate box and enter appropriate letter(s) in the space(s) provided: | | 17. This question applies to the applicant organization, not the person who signs as the authorized representative. Categories of debt include delinquent audit disallowances, loans and taxes. | |
| -- "New" means a new assistance award. | | | |
| -- "Continuation" means an extension for an additional funding/budget period for a project with a projected completion date. | | 18. To be signed by the authorized representative of the applicant. A copy of the governing body's authorization for you to sign this application as official representative must be on file in the applicant's office. (Certain Federal agencies may require that this authorization be submitted as part of the application.) | |
| -- "Revision" means any change in the Federal Government's financial obligation or contingent liability from an existing obligation. | | | |
| 9. Name of Federal agency from which assistance is being requested with this application. | | | |
| 10. Use the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number and title of the program under which assistance is requested. | | | |
| 11. Enter a brief descriptive title of the project. If more than one program is involved, you should append an explanation on a separate sheet. If appropriate (e.g., construction or real property projects), attach a map showing project location. For preapplications, use a separate sheet to provide a summary description of this project. | | | |

SF-424 (Rev. 7-97) Back

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

SECTION A: BUDGET SUMMARY						
Grant Program Function or Activity (a)	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b)	Estimated Unobligated Funds		New or Revised Budget		
		Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1.		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
2.						
3.						
4.						
5. Totals		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
SECTION B: BUDGET CATEGORIES						
6. Object Class Categories		GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY				Total
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
a. Personnel		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
b. Fringe Benefits						
c. Travel						
d. Equipment						
e. Supplies						
f. Contractual						
g. Construction						
h. Other						
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)						
j. Indirect Charges						
k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
7. Program Income		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Previous Edition Usable

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 4-92)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

1-0153350

1-0153350

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0043), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

This is a standard form used by applicants as a required facesheet for preapplications and applications submitted for Federal assistance. It will be used by Federal agencies to obtain applicant certification that States which have established a review and comment procedure in response to Executive Order 12372 and have selected the program to be included in their process, have been given an opportunity to review the applicant's submission.

- | Item: | Entry: | Item: | Entry: |
|-------|---|-------|--|
| 1. | Self-explanatory. | 12. | List only the largest political entities affected (e.g., State, counties, cities). |
| 2. | Date application submitted to Federal agency (or State if applicable) and applicant's control number (if applicable). | 13. | Self-explanatory. |
| 3. | State use only (if applicable). | 14. | List the applicant's Congressional District and any District(s) affected by the program or project. |
| 4. | If this application is to continue or revise an existing award, enter present Federal identifier number. If for a new project, leave blank. | 15. | Amount requested or to be contributed during the first funding/budget period by each contributor. Value of in-kind contributions should be included on appropriate lines as applicable. If the action will result in a dollar change to an existing award, indicate <u>only</u> the amount of the change. For decreases, enclose the amounts in parentheses. If both basic and supplemental amounts are included, show breakdown on an attached sheet. For multiple program funding, use totals and show breakdown using same categories as item 15. |
| 5. | Legal name of applicant, name of primary organizational unit which will undertake the assistance activity, complete address of the applicant, and name and telephone number of the person to contact on matters related to this application. | 16. | Applicants should contact the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC) for Federal Executive Order 12372 to determine whether the application is subject to the State intergovernmental review process. |
| 6. | Enter Employer Identification Number (EIN) as assigned by the Internal Revenue Service. | 17. | This question applies to the applicant organization, not the person who signs as the authorized representative. Categories of debt include delinquent audit disallowances, loans and taxes. |
| 7. | Enter the appropriate letter in the space provided. | 18. | To be signed by the authorized representative of the applicant. A copy of the governing body's authorization for you to sign this application as official representative must be on file in the applicant's office. (Certain Federal agencies may require that this authorization be submitted as part of the application.) |
| 8. | Check appropriate box and enter appropriate letter(s) in the space(s) provided:

-- "New" means a new assistance award.

-- "Continuation" means an extension for an additional funding/budget period for a project with a projected completion date.

-- "Revision" means any change in the Federal Government's financial obligation or contingent liability from an existing obligation. | | |
| 9. | Name of Federal agency from which assistance is being requested with this application. | | |
| 10. | Use the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number and title of the program under which assistance is requested. | | |
| 11. | Enter a brief descriptive title of the project. If more than one program is involved, you should append an explanation on a separate sheet. If appropriate (e.g., construction or real property projects), attach a map showing project location. For preapplications, use a separate sheet to provide a summary description of this project. | | |

SF-424 (Rev. 7-97) Back

SECTION C: NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES				
(a) Grant Program	(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(e) TOTALS
8.	\$	\$	\$	\$
9.				
10.				
11.				
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8 - 11)	\$	\$	\$	\$

SECTION D: FORCASTED CASH NEEDS					
	Total for 1st Year	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
14. NonFederal					
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)					

SECTION E: BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT				
(a) Grant Program	FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (Years)			
	(b) First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth
16.	\$	\$	\$	\$
17.				
18.				
19.				
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19)	\$	\$	\$	\$

SECTION F: OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION	
21. Direct Charges:	22. Indirect Charges:
23. Remarks:	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424A

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 180 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0044), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

General Instructions

This form is designed so that application can be made for funds from one or more grant programs. In preparing the budget, adhere to any existing Federal grantor agency guidelines which prescribe how and whether budgeted amounts should be separately shown for different functions or activities within the program. For some programs, grantor agencies may require budgets to be separately shown by function or activity. For other programs, grantor agencies may require a breakdown by function or activity. Sections A, B, C, and D should include budget estimates for the whole project except when applying for assistance which requires Federal authorization in annual or other funding period increments. In the latter case, Sections A, B, C, and D should provide the budget for the first budget period (usually a year) and Section E should present the need for Federal assistance in the subsequent budget periods. All applications should contain a breakdown by the object class categories shown in Lines a-k of Section B.

Section A. Budget Summary Lines 1-4 Columns (a) and (b)

For applications pertaining to a *single* Federal grant program (Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog number) and *not* requiring a functional or activity breakdown, enter on Line 1 under Column (a) the catalog program title and the catalog number in Column (b).

For applications pertaining to a *single* program requiring budget amounts by multiple functions or activities, enter the name of each activity or function on each line in Column (a), and enter the catalog number in Column (b). For applications pertaining to multiple programs where none of the programs require a breakdown by function or activity, enter the catalog program title on each line in Column (a) and the respective catalog number on each line in Column (b).

For applications pertaining to a *multiple* programs where one or more programs require a breakdown by function or activity, prepare a separate sheet for each program requiring the breakdown. Additional sheets should be used when one form does not provide adequate space for all breakdown of data required. However, when more than one sheet is used, the first page should provide the summary totals by programs.

Lines 1-4, Columns (c) through (g)

For new applications, leave Columns (c) and (d) blank. For each line entry in Columns (a) and (b), enter in Columns (e), (f), and (g) the appropriate amounts of funds needed to support the project for the first funding period (usually a year).

For continuing grant program applications, submit these forms before the end of each funding period as required by the grantor agency. Enter in Columns (c) and (d) the estimated amounts of funds which will remain unobligated at the end of the grant funding period only if the Federal grantor agency instructions provide for this. Otherwise, leave these columns blank. Enter in Columns (e) and (f) the amounts of funds needed for the upcoming period. The amount(s) in Column (g) should be the sum of amounts in Columns (e) and (f).

For supplemental grants and changes to existing grants, do not use Columns (c) and (d). Enter in Column (e) the amount of the increase or decrease of Federal funds and enter in Column (f) the amount of the increase or decrease of non-Federal funds. In Column (g) enter the new total budgeted amount (Federal and non-Federal) which includes the total previous authorized budgeted amounts plus or minus, as appropriate, the amounts shown in Columns (e) and (f). The amount(s) in Column (g) should not equal the sum of amounts in Columns (e) and (f).

Line 5—Show the totals for all columns used.

Section B. Budget Categories

In the column headings (1) through (4), enter the titles of the same programs, functions, and activities shown on Lines 1-4, Column (a), Section A. When additional sheets are prepared for Section A, provide similar column headings on each sheet. For each program, function or activity, fill in the total requirements for funds (both Federal and non-Federal) by object class categories.

Lines 6a-i—Show the totals of Lines 6a and 6h in each column.

Line 6j—Show the amount of indirect cost.

Line 6k—Enter the total of amounts on Lines 6i and 6j. For all applications for new grants and continuation grants the total amount in column (5), Line 6k, should be the same as the total amount shown in Section A, Column (g), Line 5. For supplemental grants and changes to grants, the total amount of the increase or decrease as shown in Columns (1)-(4), Line 6k should be the same as the sum of the amounts in Section A, Columns (e) and (f) on Line 5.

Line 7—Enter the estimated amount of income, if any, expected to be generated from this project. Do not add or subtract this amount from the total project amount. Show under the program.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424A (continued)

narrative statement the nature and source of income. The estimated amount of program income may be considered by the federal grantor agency in determining the total amount of the grant

Section C. Non-Federal Resources

Lines 8-11--Enter amounts of non-Federal resources that will be used on the grant. If in-kind contributions are included, provide a brief explanation on a separate sheet.

Column (a) - Enter the program titles identical to Column (a), Section A. A breakdown by function or activity is not necessary.

Column (b) - Enter the contribution to be made by the applicant.

Column (c) - Enter the amount of the State's cash and in-kind contribution if the applicant is not a State or State agency. Applicants which are a State or State agencies should leave this column blank.

Column (d) - Enter the amount of cash and in-kind contributions to be made from all other sources.

Column (e) - Enter totals of Columns (b), (c), and (d).

Line 12--Enter the total for each of Columns (b)-(e). The amount in Column (e) should be equal to the amount on Line 5, Column (f) Section A.

Section D. Forecasted Cash Needs

Line 13--Enter the amount of cash needed by quarter from the grantor agency during the first year.

Line 14--Enter the amount of cash from all other sources needed by quarter during the first year.

Line 15--Enter the totals of amounts on Lines 13 and 14.

Section E. Budget Estimates of Federal Funds Needed for Balance of the Project

Lines 18-19--Enter in Column (a) the same grant program titles shown in Column (a), Section A. A breakdown by function or activity is not necessary. For new applications and continuation grant applications, enter in the proper columns amounts of Federal funds which will be needed to complete the program or project over the succeeding funding period (usually in years). This section need not be completed for revisions (amendments, changes, or supplements) to funds for the current year of existing grants.

If more than four lines are needed to list the program titles, submit additional schedules as necessary.

Line 20--Enter the total for each of the Columns (b)-(e). When additional schedules are prepared for this Section, annotate accordingly and show the overall totals on this line.

Section F. Other Budget Information

Line 21--Use this space to explain amounts for individual direct object-class cost categories that may appear to be out of the ordinary or to explain the details as required by the Federal grantor agency.

Line 22--Enter the type of indirect rate (provisional, predetermined, final or fixed) that will be in effect during the funding period, the estimated amount of the base to which the rate is applied, and the total indirect expense.

Line 23--Provide any other explanations or comments deemed necessary.

ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

1. Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
3. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
4. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
8. Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

9. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327-333), regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11890; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11888; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523); and, (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-205).
12. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. §§1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
13. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations, and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL <i>Rosenberg Alex</i>		TITLE Park Planner	
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION Greater Vallejo Recreation District		DATE SUBMITTED April 16, 1999	

Standard Form 424B (Rev. 7-97) Back

-Phase 1-

OMB Approval No. 0348-0041

BUDGET INFORMATION -- Construction Programs

NOTE: Certain Federal assistance programs require additional computations to arrive at the Federal share of project costs eligible for participation. If such is the case you will be notified.

COST CLASSIFICATION	a. Total Cost	b. Costs Not Allowable for Participation	c. Total Allowable Costs (Column a-b)
1. Administrative and legal expenses	\$ 10,000	\$ -0-	\$ 10,000
2. Land, structures, rights-of-way, appraisals, etc.	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -10-
3. Relocation expenses and payments	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
4. Architectural and engineering fees	\$ 183,500	\$ -0-	\$ 183,500
5. Other architectural and engineering fees	\$ 3,000	\$ -0-	\$ 3,000
6. Project inspection fees	\$ 18,500	\$ -0-	\$ 18,500
7. Site work	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
8. Demolition and removal	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
9. Construction	\$ 581,000	\$ -0-	\$ 58,000
10. Equipment	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
11. Miscellaneous	\$ 30,000	\$ -0-	\$ 30,000
12. SUBTOTAL	\$	\$ -0-	\$
13. Contingencies	\$ 174,000	\$ -0-	\$ 174,000
14. SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -0-	\$ 1,000,000
15. Project (program) income	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
16. TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (subtract #15 from #14)	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -0-	\$ 1,000,000
17. Federal assistance requested, calculate as follows: (Consult Federal agency for Federal percentage share). Enter the resulting Federal share.			\$ 1,000,000

Previous Edition Usable

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424C (Rev. 4-92)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

1-015357

1-015357

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF 424C

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 180 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0041), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

This sheet is to be used for the following types of applications: (1) "New" (means a new [previous unfunded] assistance award); (2) "Continuation" (means funding in a succeeding budget period which stemmed from a prior agreement to fund); and (3) "Revised" (means any changes in the Federal government's financial obligations or contingent liability from an existing obligation). If there is no change in the award amount there is no need to complete this form. Certain Federal agencies may require only an explanatory letter to the effect minor (no cost) changes. If you have questions please contact the Federal agency.

Column a.--If this is an application for a "New" project, enter the total estimated cost of each of the items listed on lines 1 through 16 (as applicable) under "COST CLASSIFICATIONS."

If this application entails a change to an existing award, enter the eligible amounts *approved under the previous award* for the items under "COST CLASSIFICATION."

Column b.--If this is an application for a "New" project, enter that portion of the cost of each item in Column a, which is *not* allowable for Federal assistance in determining the allowability of specific costs.

If this application entails a change to an existing award, enter the adjustment [+ or (-)] to the previously approved costs (from column a.) reflected in this application.

Column c.--This is the net of lines 1 through 16 in columns "a." and "b."

Line 1--Enter estimated amounts needed to cover administrative expenses. Do not include costs which are related to the normal functions of government. Allowable legal costs are generally only those associated with the purchase of land which is allowable for Federal participation and certain services in support of construction of the project.

Line 2--Enter estimated site and right(s)-of-way acquisition costs (this includes purchase, lease, and/or easements).

Line 3--Enter estimated costs related to relocation advisory assistance, replacement housing, relocation payments to displaced persons and businesses, etc.

Line 4--Enter estimated basic engineering fees related to construction (this includes start-up services and preparation of project performance work plan).

Line 5--Enter estimated engineering costs, such as surveys, tests, soil borings, etc.

Line 6--Enter estimated engineering inspection costs.

Line 7--Enter estimated costs of site preparation and restoration which are not included in the basic construction contract.

Line 9--Enter estimated cost of the construction contract.

Line 10--Enter estimated cost of office, shop, laboratory, safety equipment, etc. to be used at the facility, if such costs are not included in the construction contract.

Line 11--Enter estimated miscellaneous costs.

Line 12--Total of items 1 through 11.

Line 13--Enter estimated contingency costs. (Consult the Federal agency for the percentage of the estimated construction cost to use.)

Line 14--Enter the total of lines 12 and 13.

Line 15--Enter estimated program income to be earned during the grant period, e.g., salvaged materials, etc.

Line 16--Subtract line 15 from line 14.

Line 17--This block is for the computation of the Federal share. Multiply the total allowable project costs from line 16, column "c." by the Federal percentage share (this may be up to 100 percent; consult Federal agency for Federal percentage share) and enter the product on line 17.

SF 424C (Rev. 4-92) Back

ASSURANCES -- CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0042), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the Awarding Agency. Further, certain Federal assistance awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant I certify that the applicant:


1. Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance, and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States, and if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the assistance; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
3. Will not dispose of, modify the use of, or change the terms of the real property title, or other interest in the site and facilities without permission and instructions from the awarding agency. Will record the Federal interest in the title of real property in accordance with awarding agency directives and will include a covenant in the title of real property acquired in whole or in part with Federal assistance funds to assure non-discrimination during the useful life of the project.
4. Will comply with the requirements of the assistance awarding agency with regard to the drafting, review and approval of construction plans and specifications.
5. Will provide and maintain competent and adequate engineering supervision at the construction site to ensure that the complete work conforms with the approved plans and specifications and will furnish progress reports and such other information as may be required by the assistance awarding agency or State.
6. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
7. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
8. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. Secs. 4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the nineteen statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
9. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. Secs. 4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
10. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to non-discrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. Secs. 1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. Secs. 794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. Secs. 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) Secs. 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act; of 1912 (42 U.S.C. 290 dd-3 and 290 ee-3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. Secs. 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to non-discrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other non-discrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made, and (j) the requirements of any other non-discrimination Statute(s) which may apply to the application.

Previous Edition Usable

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424D (Rev. 4/92)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

11. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provides for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal and federally assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
12. Will comply with the provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. Secs. 1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.
13. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 276a to 276a - 7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 276c and 18 U.S.C. Sec. 874), the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. Secs. 327-333), regarding labor standards for federally assisted construction subagreements.
14. Will comply with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
15. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. Secs. 1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clear Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clear Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. Secs. 7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, (P.L. 93-523); and (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (P.L. 93-205).
16. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. Secs. 1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
17. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. Sec. 470), EO 11593 (identification and preservation of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 469a-1 et seq.).
18. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 1984.
19. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, Executive Orders, regulations and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL 	TITLE Park Planner
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION Greater Vallejo Recreation District	DATE SUBMITTED April 16, 1999

SF 424D (Rev. 4/92) Back